# Using a new Discretization of the Fourier Transform to Discriminate Voiced From Unvoiced Speech

Antonio Camarena-Ibarrola and Edgar Chavez

{camarena,elchavez}@umich.mx

Universidad Michoacana de Sán Nicolás de Hidalgo Morelia, Michoacán. México

### The talk

- To show a recent signal processing analisys technique that we adapted to extract features from the speech signal.
- It was applied to a simple especific, yet relevant problem.
- The results encourage its use in robust ASR or speaker ID.
- Although there are several feature extractors DFT, MFCC, LPC, wavelets, it is always worthed to search for more robust feature extraction techniques.

# **Voiced Speech**

A segment of the speech signal is known as voiced if the vocal folds vibrate during its production. This vibration introduces periodicity in the signal



30 milliseconds of voiced speech. Vowel e

# **Unvoiced speech**

Its statistical properties are predictable (Stationary signal)



30 miliseconds of unvoiced speech. Sound of the "s"

# Importance

Discriminating voiced from Unvoiced Speech

- Automatic Speech Recognition
- Detection of Laringeal Diseases
- Speech synthesis

### LPC Based Speech Synthesis



#### The CFT and the DFT

The CFT  $(X(j\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(t)e^{-j\omega t}dt)$  has an infinite kernel The DFT  $(X[k] = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n]e^{-j2\pi kn/N})$  has a kernel of the same than the lenght of the signal



### The CFT with a finite kernel!!!

$$F_{i,j} = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2n}} \sqrt[4]{\frac{4n+3-x_j^2}{4n+3-x_i^2}} [\cos(x_i x_j) + j\sin(x_i x_j)]$$

- x is a vector with the roots of Hermite's Polynomial of degree n
- f(x) is the signal sampled at points x (not necesarily vailable)
- g = Ff(x) is a vector with the CFT evaluated at the roots of the Hermite's Polinomial

#### Hermite's Polynomials



### An example of the CFT

If 
$$x(t) = e^{-2|t|}$$
 then  $X(j\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2|t|} e^{-j\omega t} dt = X(j\omega) = \frac{4}{\omega^2 + 4}$ 



#### **Another example of the CFT**

If 
$$x(t) = sin(\frac{t^2}{2})$$
 then  $X(j\omega) = \pi [cos(\frac{\omega^2}{2}) + sin(\frac{\omega^2}{2})]$ 



### **Zeros of Hermite's Polynomials**

In the Linear range, the zeros are equidistant blue: 480 Zeros Red: 640 Zeros Black 1000 Zeros



We use the 140 roots of least magnitud of the Hermite's polynomial of degree 480

ENC2006 - p. 12/2

# **Short Time CFT for speech**

- 1: Fill vector  $\hat{x}$  with the roots of the Hermite's polynomial of degree n
- 2: Construct the finite kernel matrix *F* using  $\hat{x}$
- 3: while there are more frames do
- 4: Adjust the speech waveform to a trigonometric polynomial p(x)
- 5: Evaluate the trigonometric polynomial in the hermite's zeros  $\hat{x}$ , call this vector f ( $f = p(\hat{x})$ )
- 6: Compute g = Ff (CFT for this frame)
- 7: end while

# **Some parameters and details**

- Frames of 30 ms at a Sample rate of 8kHz (250 samples)
- overlapping of 50 % samplesize of 8 bits
- The signal is adjusted to the following trigonometric polynomial [1]:

$$\frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{M} [a_j cos(jx) + b_j sin(jx)] \text{ Where:} \\ a_j = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} [f(x_k) cos(jx_k)] \quad \forall \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, M \\ b_j = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} [f(x_k) sin(jx_k)] \quad \forall \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, M \\ \text{where } M < N/2 \text{ (We used M=100)} \end{aligned}$$

140 zeros of least magnitude of Hermite's polynomial of degree 480

[1] Mathews, Jhon H & Fink, Kurtis D. *Numerical Methods with Matlab 3rd Edition* PH

### **CFT for Voiced speech**



### **CFT for Unvoiced speech**



# **Square of the Imaginary part of the CFT**



spanish word "seis"

# **Square of the Imaginary part of the CFT**



Word "feo"

# **Square of the Imaginary part of the CFT**



"ceja" (mexican pronuntiation)

# **Experiments**

- Ten digits pronunced
- Classes considered: Voiced, unvoiced, silence
- VOICED.-Enough energy both in real and imaginary parts of the CFT
- UNVOICED.- Too little energy in the imaginary part but enough energy in the real part of the CFT
- SILENCE.- Too little energy both in real and imaginary parts of the CFT

	ZCR	$E_n$	Cepstrum	STAF	MSTAF	CFT
FAR	0.07	0.18	0.106	0.016	0	0
FRR	0.06	0.16	0.136	0.02	0	0

### **Conclusions and future work**

- CFT is as accurate as MSTAF
- Unlike MSTAF, CFT does not need data from the next frame of the signal
- In the future we intend to use the discretization of the CFT for Automatic Speech Recognition and for Individual Identification.
- compare with LPC and MFCC

### **QUESTIONS?**

camarena@umich.mx MSc Antonio Camarena Ibarrola elchavez@umich.mx Phd Edgar Chavez

#### **Related Work**



ENC2006 - p. 23/2

#### **False Aceptance and False Rejection**



$$FAR = \frac{2/11 + 2/13 + 1/12}{3} = 0.1397$$
$$FRR = \frac{2/7 + 1/5 + 2/6}{3} = 0.273$$

#### **THANKS!!**